



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,402	11/03/2003	Krassimir P. Nenov	CGT-113	5770
50997	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
MITCHELL D. BITTMAN			JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL	
SEQUA COR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CK, NJ 07601		3726	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	UX.
	10/700,402	NENOV ET AL.	O'
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc Jimenez	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this community. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	) .		
, ,	This action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	- allowance except for formal matt		rits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction a	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · ·	· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	·	· · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	j <b>e</b>
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	)

Application/Control Number: 10/700,402

Art Unit: 3726

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a method of repairing a gas turbine engine blade, classified in class 29, subclass 889.1.
  - II. Claims 11-20 and 23, drawn to a gas turbine engine turbine blade, classified in class 416, subclass 204A.
  - III. Claims 21, 22, and 24-30, drawn to a process for manufacturing a turbine blade, classified in class 29, subclass 889.7. It appears that "Blade of" recited in claims 22 and 24-30 which depend upon claim 21 should be "Method of".

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made without a removing step.
- 3. Inventions Group I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

Application/Control Number: 10/700,402

Art Unit: 3726

claimed because the combination (Group III) does not require a removing step. The subcombination (Group I) has separate utility such as for use by itself or in a process that does not have casting as one piece a blade body.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions Group II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by forging the blade body as one piece rather than cast as one piece.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III and the search for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: blade body and tip section formed from same material

Species B: blade body formed from different material than tip section

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 11 and 21 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/700,402 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Jimenez whose telephone number (571) 272-4530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 5:30 a.m.-2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 273-4530. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc Jimenez Primary Examine

Art Unit 3726

MJ March 5, 2005